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Abstract

There is something behind this universe that has given rise to it, sustains it, and has a relation to the question of what consciousness is. This essay explores this 'thing's' nature and essence, taking us on a journey from the Big Bang to the Present.
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There is something that lies behind everything in the universe or possible universes. It is a Consciousness that is formless, infinite in extent, all-encompassing, singular, everlasting, the First and the Last, the Innermost and the Outermost, the indivisible – that unto which nothing is comparable. It is the basis plane of all existence. It is nothing like Its creation, for logically how could that be so? It is Eternal and has Imagination, and out of that imagination arose the universe that It sustains. One of these universes is the one we are in, that It *imaginated* through the Big Bang and particles that split from the 'Big Bang' and co-joined to create all other particles, the willed-sustenance of solidity through these unit articles (acting without action at a distance at that final level). It was the interplay of these unit particles out of which all other 'forces' arose by combining into larger groupings of particles that we now have names for, think they are many, but arose from that unit particle's groupings (the atom's atom, as it were) - From Particles to Articles! And once these particles through pathways based on equilibrium formed into more complex structures after the creation of stars, carbon, planets, water, life, rocks and eventually clay and the reorganization of carbon etc. into bio molecules, that further evolved into more complex structures, it was the birth of consciousness (with a small 'c').

These 'complex' lifeforms were able to access from that source Consciousness, just as an antenna accesses radiowaves or a computer connects to Wi-Fi (crude but instructive analogies, nonetheless) but only on two conditions set by this creative and singular "Imaginator": These two conditions for the emergence of consciousness were the specific pattern of nervous system that would arise in the 'organism', and its operation through photons (and not just electrons); these two processes working seamlessly in conjunction in a *specific formula*, gave access to the Consciousness, and thus, such entities as Man 'owned' consciousness as it were through which it became reified; however, at its base it was only a fractionalization of the consciousness from an In(di)visible one, through the Imagination of this Indivisible one – the Real had created the
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quasi-real, for that is the only way that indivisible consciousness could generate consciousness (or 'fractionalize'). And when such consciousness in Man arose through a re-organization of the unit particles about 13.8 billion years into the shape of Man, and then when he looked at the starry universe and pondered as to the origin of it, his own creation and his consciousness, he saw no creator (or she saw no creator!), because Man could not see that which gave rise to everything, that 'thing' not being comprised of particles, and being the basis plane of the universe. It was therefore Invisible to all senses except through signs and pointers in nature, Visible through intellection, and also through the direct causal connection between consciousness and the Consciousness.

However, many homo-sapiens over the centuries started to either worship the universe's components (mysticism in one form or another), equated this consciousness with the universe itself, or eventually started to deny that there was ever any source that was Conscious with a big C, as a counter-reaction to irrationality and the exploitation of priestly classes in all formal major religions – a grave and tragic legacy; but sadly, as an irrational and fallacious over-reaction, the atheists threw out the baby with the bathwater. One day the baby, then grown up, will return and ask them why he was so unceremoniously also thrown out; why did they not throw out only the dirty water?! Indeed, there has been Silence for too long, and now, in the evolution of humankind, that Silence is slowly – perhaps too slowly in our conflict-ridden immature planet – being broken; there will no doubt come a time when all of this will become very clear. At that time, calling something 'religious' or 'scientific' will become as meaningless as phlogiston, a relic of the past times of confusion, arrogance and subterfuge. This is what will transpire in the, hopefully, not too distant future.