

Essay

How Atheists Suppress Their Opponents' Voice

Himangsu S. Pal*

ABSTRACT

The truth is that some atheists cannot reconcile themselves to the fact that one scientific theory (Special Relativity) has shown how it is possible to be spaceless and timeless and that at the same time religions have also described their God as spaceless and timeless. So either they try to show that Special Relativity is not a valid scientific theory or mystics' timelessness and scientists' timelessness are not the same, or when they cannot do anything of these, they directly suppress their opponent's voice.

Keywords: Atheist, suppression, Special Relativity, spaceless, timeless, God.

In an earlier article [1], I have shown how an atheist tries to suppress his opponent's voice. Recently I have come across another instance of this.

In one YouTube video comment section one person has written that theists are hilarious because they demand evidence for a multiverse, although they cannot provide any evidence for their God. He has also written that at least multiverse has intensely strong mathematical support behind it.

In reply I have to write to him that if multiverse has mathematical support behind it, then God also has mathematical support behind him, because two equations of Special Relativity have already shown how it is possible to be spaceless, timeless and immortal. I also write to him that some materialistic scientists are heavily biased and partial in their search for truth, because they consider multiverse as highly probable based on the mathematics of inflation theory but these same scientists totally ignore God as probable, although there is mathematical support behind God also.

Here comes another person saying that I am kidding and that there is no math that even hints at the existence of my particular God and that a fair amount of shoehorning is going on there.

As he has commented that there is no math that hints at the existence of my particular God, so I have to explain to him in detail that the two equations of Special Relativity shows how it is possible to be spaceless and timeless. As God is called spaceless and timeless and as Special Relativity has also shown how a state of spacelessness and timelessness can obtain, so from this it can be said that mathematics of Special Relativity suggests it is highly probable there is a God.

But this fails to convince him and he sticks to his opinion that I am shoehorning God into science. He also says that if I claim the probable existence of my God in this way, then in a

* Correspondence: Himangsu S. Pal. E-Mail: sekharpal1946@rediffmail.com

similar way one can also claim the probable existence of other gods like the Greek Primordial Entity Chaos or the Hindu gods Brahma, Vishnu and Shiva, or the Egyptian god Atum, any of which could be this Universe's creator instead of my particular God.

So I have to write to him that in the whole history of mankind only one God has been described as spaceless and timeless and that this God is the traditional God of almost all the religions all over the world. I also mention that even mystics have described their God as spaceless and timeless. I also write to him that if one wants to put all the other mythical gods in the same bracket with this traditional God, then one will definitely be mistaken. I also request him to mention one single god other than the traditional God of the religions who has been described as spaceless and timeless.

But he does not comply. Rather he asks me to point to the text in my Bible that contains the terms 'timeless' and 'spaceless'. Below are the two replies of mine that have been deleted:

Reply 1: Perhaps you are not much educated. That is why you think that every person who believes in God is a Christian and that the Bible is his/her religious text. I am a Hindu, but I do not depend on any religious text for my belief.

Here is a quote from Dr. William Lane Craig who is well known as a Christian apologist and a good debater:

And then on the rest of the page it's fairly obvious how I deduce the remainder of these attributes which form the central core of the theistic notion of God: a personal Creator, uncaused, beginningless, changeless, immaterial, timeless, spaceless, enormously powerful, and intelligent. In the words of Thomas Aquinas, this is what everybody means by God. <http://www.reasonablefaith.org/does-god-exist-the-craig-smith-debate-199...>

In the above quote it has been mentioned that God is spaceless and timeless.

Reply 2: You can also read the book 'Mysticism and Philosophy' by W. T. Stace, Chapter 2, Section 11: Conclusions, pages 131-132, by google search.

As the above two replies of mine have been deleted, so the impression one will get after going through the whole dialogue is that I have failed to establish my point because I could not produce the required text from the Bible which will further imply that the atheist has the last laugh here.

The truth is that atheists cannot reconcile themselves to the fact that one scientific theory (Special Relativity) has shown how it is possible to be spaceless and timeless and that at the same time religions have also described their God as spaceless and timeless. So either they try to show that Special Relativity is not a valid scientific theory [2], or they try to show that mystics' timelessness and scientists' timelessness are not the same [3], or when they cannot do anything of these, they directly suppress their opponent's voice.

Reference

1. <http://scigod.com/index.php/sgj/article/view/510/551>
2. <http://www.11prompt.com/?q=node/546>
3. <http://scigod.com/index.php/sgj/article/view/439/490>